

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BONN 14876 191751Z

70

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 NEA-14 IO-14 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-07 H-03

INR-11 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-07 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03

SS-20 USIA-15 ACDA-19 OMB-01 SAJ-01 TRSE-00 CU-05

CIEP-03 COME-00 STR-08 DRC-01 /167 W

----- 076194

R 191734Z SEP 74

FM AMEMBASSY BONN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5149

INFO AMEMBASSY ANKARA

AMEMBASSY BELGRADE

AMEMBASSY BERN

AMEMBASSY BUDAPEST

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY HELSINKI

AMEMBASSY PRAGUE

AMEMBASSY WARSAW

AMEMBASSY BUCHAREST

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY VIENNA

AMEMBASSY REYKJAVIK

AMEMBASSY MADRID

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

AMEMBASSY VALLETTA

AMEMBASSY ATHENS

AMEMBASSY PARIS

USMISSION NATO BRUSSELS

USMISSION BERLIN

USMISSION GENEVA

USINT ALGIERS

USDEL MBFR VIENNA

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BONN 14876 191751Z

C O N F I D E N T I A L BONN 14876

GENEVA FOR CSCE DEL  
E.O. 11652: GDS  
TAGS: PFOR, EEC, CSCE, GW  
SUBJECT: EC NINE POLICY ON CSCE

REF: PARIS 22015

1. THE FRG FONOFF OFFICIAL RESPONSIBLE FOR CSCE MATTERS (VON GROLL) WENT TO SOME LENGTH TO TRY TO CONVINCE AN EMBOFF THAT THE EC-9 PAPER CONTAINED IN REFTEL REPRESENTED A MAJOR EFFORT BY THE NINE TO DETERMINE CSCE ESSENTIALS AS SECRETARY KISSINGER DESIRED. ACCORDING TO VON GROLL, THIS PAPER REPRESENTS THE AGREED EC-9 POSITION WHICH WILL BE USED BOTH IN NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE SOVIETS AND WITH THE U.S. WHILE CERTAIN POINTS RAISED THEREIN WERE OF GREATER CONCERN TO SOME EC-9 MEMBERS THAN TO OTHERS, ALL NINE HAD AGREED TO SUPPORT EACH ELEMENT AS IF IT WERE THEIR OWN.

2. THROUGHOUT THE DISCUSSION, VON GROLL EMPHASIZED THAT THE EC-9'S POSITION AS TO ESSENTIALS WERE VIRTUALLY IDENTICAL WITH THAT OF THE U.S. ONLY THE QUESTION OF TACTICS WAS AT ISSUE. IN THIS CONNECTION, THE EC-9 CONTINUED TO BELIEVE THAT A FIRST READING OF ALL DESIDERATA WAS ESSENTIAL. THE FRG, FOR ITS PART, HAD NO DESIRE TO SPEED UP THE CONCLUSION OF THE CONFERENCE AT THE EXPENSE OF LOSING ADVANTAGES WHICH MIGHT BE GAINED BY A MORE PATIENT APPROACH. THE FRG WAS QUITE PREPARED TO WAIT OUT THE USSR. FOR EXAMPLE, IT HELD THAT DURING THE FIRST READING WESTERN RESERVATIONS TO SOVIET POSITIONS BE RECORDED BY MEANS OF BRACKETS OR OTHERWISE .  
HILLENBRAND

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** POLICIES, REGIONAL ORGANIZATIONS, COLLECTIVE SECURITY AGREEMENTS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 19 SEP 1974  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** golinofr  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1974BONN14876  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D740263-0916  
**From:** BONN  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1974/newtext/t19740933/aaaabbms.tel  
**Line Count:** 96  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** PARIS 22015  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** golinofr  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 21 MAR 2002  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <21 MAR 2002 by elyme>; APPROVED <20 MAY 2002 by golinofr>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** EC NINE POLICY ON CSCE  
**TAGS:** PFOR, GE, EEC, CSCE  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005